

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,599	MADAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166	

All Participants:

(1) Navneet K. Ahluwalia.

(2) Shirley Anderson (Regd. No. 57,763).

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 18-20,35-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant's representative on suggestion from examiner, agreed to incorporate claims 19-20 into claim 18 and similarly for claims 35-37 to advance prosecution and have remaining claims in allowance. An examiner's amendment was requested by Ms. Anderson. Examiner agreed to do an examiner's amendment to the claims and conduct an updated search along with a follow-up office action..